

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,961	09/15/2003	F. Conrad Greer	50715/P005US/10311739 1112	
29053	7590 10/27/2006		EXAMINER	
DALLAS OFFICE OF FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. 2200 ROSS AVENUE			NGUYEN, NGOC YEN M	
SUITE 2800	VENOE		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX	75201-2784		1754	
			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					V		
Office Action Summary		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
		1	0/662,961	GREER, F. CONRAD			
		E	xaminer	Art Unit			
			goc-Yen M. Nguyen	1754			
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communically	ication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MOST IS LONGER, FROM THE MOST IS LONGER, FROM THE MOST IS LONGER IS LONGER IN THE MOST IS LONGER IS LONGER IN THE MOST IS LONGER IS LONGER IN THE MOST IN THE MOST IS LONGER IN THE MOST	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. atutory period will a will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION). In no event, however, may a reply be tin pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>27 <i>July</i> .</u>	<u>2006</u> .				
2a)☐ This	action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This ac	tion is non-final.				
3)☐ Since	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
close	ed in accordance with the praction	ce under <i>Ex p</i>	oarte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of	Claims						
4) Clain	n(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.	•				
•	of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are v		n consideration.				
5)☐ Clain	n(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>7-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7)∐ Clain	n(s) is/are objected to.						
8)☐ Clain	n(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or el	ection requirement.				
Application Pa	apers						
9)∏ The s	pecification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
	rawing(s) filed on is/are:		ed or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	cant may not request that any object			•			
Repla	acement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The o	ath or declaration is objected to	by the Exam	iner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
	owledgment is made of a claim to b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1							
2.	,		• •				
3.∟	Copies of the certified copies of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	ed in this National Stage			
* Coo th	application from the Internation	•	• • • •				
See in	e attached detailed Office action	i for a list of t	ne cenified copies not receive	ca.			
Attachment(s)							
	ferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) 🛛 Information I	aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) /Mail Date	ГО-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				
	00		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/662,961

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in the reply filed on July 27, 2006 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on July 27, 2006.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahmood et al (4,938,945) in view of Ong et al (5,698,483) and Babor ("Basic College Chemistry", Second edition, 1953, pp. 255-260).

Mahmood '945 discloses a process for producing high purity anhydrous FeF₃ by reacting ferric chloride with liquid anhydrous HF (note claim 1).

The difference is Mahmood '945 does not disclose the steps of dissolving ferric chloride in a solvent solution and blending the obtained ferric chloride/solvent solution with a polymer.

Mahmood '945 does disclose that the ferric fluoride is known as a catalyst in organic reactions (note column 1, lines 10-11). In order to effective promote the reactions, the catalyst should be in contact with the reactants.

Babor is applied to teach that since there is more contact surface in a finely ground mixture than in a coarsely ground one, it is to be expected that the reaction will be faster the finer the particles and the more intimately they are mixed (note page 256, under "b. State of subdivision").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to produce ferric chloride, as disclosed in Mahmood '945, with as fine as possible particle size to increase the surface area which in turn increases the reaction rate, when the ferric chloride is used as a catalyst in organic reactions.

Ong '483 discloses a process for producing nano size powders comprising the steps of mixing an aqueous continuous phase comprising at least one metal cation salt with a hydropolymeric organic polymeric disperse phase, forming a metal cation salt/polymer gel; and heat treating said gel at a temperature sufficient to drive off the water and organics within said gel, leaving as a residue a nanometer size powder (note claim 1). The process for producing nanometer particle-size powders in accordance to Ong '483 produces an intermediate gelled hydrophilic polymer structure in which the aqueous, ionic solution is "frozen", thereby preserving uniform dispersion of the metal ions within the polymer structure. In addition, the process requires only two major raw materials, an aqueous salt solution and a hydrophilic polymer. In comparison to other known processes for producing nanometer particle-size powders in which the polymer-

to-oxide ratio is very high, typically on the order of 50:1, the organic media-to-powder product ratio in accordance with the process of this invention is as low as 1.7:1 for 8YSZ. Finally, the gaseous products produced in accordance with the process of this invention are essentially environmentally benign, namely carbon dioxide (CO.sub.2) and water (H.sub.2 O).

Ong '483 discloses that when a hydrophobic polymer is added to an aqueous metal salt solution, it swells as it absorbs the solution into its structure (note column 4, lines 34-38). The metal cation salt can be chlorides (note column 4, lines 64-66) and from the group including iron in Group 8 (note claim 5). Thus, the "aqueous metal salt solution" can be a solution of ferric chloride, which is considered as the same as the claimed ferric trichloride/solvent solution.

Even though Mahmood '945 discloses that the reaction is conducted in the substantial absence of oxygen, water or an oxidizing agent, however, since the water used in the process of Ong '483 is absorbed into and "frozen" in the polymer, the water would not have interfere with the reaction disclosed in Mahmood '945.

For other process conditions as required in the dependent claims, it would have been well within the skill of the artisan to optimize or select appropriate conditions for the process in order to obtain the best results.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the process of Ong '483 in combination to the process of Mahmood '945 in order to produce nano particle size of ferric chloride, which would

Art Unit: 1754

have high surface area to promote the reaction rate (note reason as stated in Babor

above).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)

272-1356. The examiner is currently on Part time schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

872-9306 or (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed (571) 272-1700.

Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754

nmn

October 16, 2006